

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box. 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/786,163	02/28/2001	Dorit Wolf	WOLF, D. ET AL-IPCT	4075
75	90 04/21/2004		EXAMINER	
Collard & Roe			BROWN, JENNINE M	
1077 Northern Boulevard Roslyn, NY 11576			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Rosiyii, ivi	1570		1755	
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			AS
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	·
· .	09/786,163	WOLF ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jennine M. Brown	1755	-4
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addres	3S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commu ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	rnication.
Status			
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Fe 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matt		erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 2.3.5-10 and 16 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4 and 11-15 is/are wis 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2.3.5-10 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	ithdrawn from consideratio	o n.	
Application Papers		•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyar tion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. △ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. Is have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s)			•
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s 5) D Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	<u> </u>	

Application/Control Number: 09/786,163

Art Unit: 1755

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group 1, claims 2-3, 5-10 and 16 in Paper No. 10 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the grounds that the species are obvious variants because the invention is not the catalyst itself but the way to achieve such catalyst and that it would be a simultaneous search and therefore not constitute a burden. This is not found persuasive because there are many possibilities for a search because the materials are inorganic or organometallic. These two categories not only make up at least two different classes of compounds, they constitute numerous subclasses within the classes of art.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 4 and 11-15 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 10. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Application/Control Number: 09/786,163

Art Unit: 1755

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 2-3, 5-10 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The preamble requires that the method be drawn to active or selective solid catalysts that are "inorganic or organometallic and arbitrarily or randomly structuring by means of crossing and mutation …"

1. The Examiner has difficulty in understanding step 1, because inorganic materials can neither be randomly nor arbitrarily crossed or mutated as they are non living materials.

"selected among the stochastic methods of random-check generators, throwing dice, and/or performing drawings,"

- 2. An arbitrary method cannot be random and the stochastic methods are random.
- 3. How does one choose a catalyst based on dice throwing? How many sided dice would you use? What would the symbols on each face of the dice represent? If you assign each face of the die to an inorganic or organometallic compound then it no longer becomes a random process.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/786,163

Art Unit: 1755

- 4. Just because materials are mixed together does not necessitate that these materials make either an active solid catalyst or a selective solid catalyst. Some materials when mixed together form catalyst precursors, which are inactive until activators are used to activate the materials.
- 5. There are no methods given with which one would analyze any product form to determine whether or not the materials would be active.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to under 37 CFR 1.71, as being so incomprehensible as to preclude a reasonable search of the prior art by the examiner. For example, the following items are not understood: the random but iterative method given for making a catalyst from inorganic or organometallic compounds where the ingredients form a catalyst mixture which can be tested by an unnamed type of test and generate a catalyst useful for some process.

Applicant is required to submit an amendment which clarifies the disclosure so that the examiner may make a proper comparison of the invention with the prior art.

Applicant should be careful not to introduce any new matter into the disclosure (i.e., matter which is not supported by the disclosure as originally filed).

Application/Control Number: 09/786,163

Art Unit: 1755

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this letter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennine M. Brown whose telephone number is (571) 272-1364. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 AM - 6:00 PM; first Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell can be reached on (571) 272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/ Mark L. Bell Supervisory Patent Examir

Technology Center 1700

imb